Aesthetic restoration challenges using the stratification technique



Buzatu R.¹, Bolos C.O.¹, Valceanu A.S.¹, Delvecchio A.², Luca M.³, Dinu S.³, Craciunescu E.L.⁴

¹Department of Dento-Facial Aesthetics, Faculty of Dental Medicine "Victor Babes" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Timisoara, Romania ²student of Dental Medicine "Victor Babes" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Timisoara, Romania ³Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dental Medicine, "Victor Babes" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Timisoara ⁴Discipline of Propaedeutics and Dental Materials, Faculty of Dental Medicine, UMF Victor Babes, Timisoara, Romania

Correspondence to: Name: Dinu Stefania Address: p-ta Eftimie Murgu nr.2, Timisoara, Romania Phone: +40 723224768 E-mail address: dinu.stefania@umft.ro

Abstract

Aim and objectives: To describe a resin layering and stamp restorative technique based on the biomimetic concept to improve esthetics in a patient with dental defects that affected both enamel and dentin in posterior teeth.

Material and methods: The patient, a 23-year-old girl, presented to the doctor with blackish staining on the teeth in the posterior side and no complaints of discomfort. She needs odontotherapy treatment on the mandible in multiple teeth on the posterior side by using the layering technique.

Results: The layering technique for posterior teeth can reproduce the aspects of a natural tooth, like its different opacities, shades, and translucencies of enamel/dentin, which can be individualized during buildup in an attempt to match with natural structures, and reduction of the shrinkage stress generated by the polymerization contraction.

Conclusion: An important advantage of the incremental layering technique is the possibility to reproduce the aspects of a natural tooth, such as its different opacities, shades, and translucencies of enamel/dentin, which can be individualized during buildup in an attempt to match with natural structures.

Keywords: stratification, aesthetic, posterior, resin

INTRODUCTION

In the last 20/25 years, it changes the way how to treat dental decay, especially for the needs of the patients even for the aesthetics and toxicity demands that other material could give. For this, it was developed new material and method for the aesthetic restoration in the posterior side that is in continuous evolution. We can restore small or wide lesions with adhesive techniques

Composites can be used on almost any tooth surface. That is why these products are increasingly being used to enhance their performance to adhere to the tooth structure (enamel and dentin) as well as their physical and mechanical characteristics. (1)

The indications for direct posterior composites should be clear and correct enough, and criteria must be respected in all steps because they are very technique-sensitive and if you don't pay attention to the details may result in failures in the future. (2)

The LT is highly desirable in order to decrease the problems generated by resin composite polymerization shrinkage. The insertion of a layer of composite is supported by the principle of C-factor reduction, which is characterized by the number of bonded walls branched by the number of free surfaces. By using an incremental layering technique, the resin composite is bonded to a decreased number of cavity walls that decreases the C-factor, and this is reducing its shrinkage levels. However, the clinical steps become highly sensitive to the clinician for the manipulation, and the final functional and esthetic result may also be compromised. An important benefit of working in increments is the possibility to reproduce different opacities, shades, and translucency characteristics of enamel and dentin, which can be customized during the buildup. The filling technique for posterior teeth should guarantee a correct adaptation of composite, especially to cavity margins, appropriate reconstruction of anatomy, and reduction of the inherent shrinkage stress achieved by the polymerization contraction.

The american dental association (ADA) published in 1998 the recommended indication for direct posterior restoration: Preventive restoration by sealing grooves and fossettes; Treatment of cavity class I and II of small-medium dimensions; Cavity class V; Treatment of cracked teeth; Patients with allergies to alloys. (3,4,5)

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The selection of patients was tacking into consideration to have superior or inferior molars with the decay on the occlusal surface, with stable, simultaneous occlusal contacts, good occlusal morphology, no smokers, young patient and without periodontal issue.

On the other hand, we excluded patients with the following criteria:

Poor oral hygiene, smokers, periodontal problems, bruxism, and mental disabilities The materials utilized for this techniques was Estelite Asteria

The patient, a 23-year-old girl, presented to the doctor with blackish staining on the teeth in the posterior side and no complaints of discomfort. She needs odontotherapy treatment on the mandible in multiple teeth on the posterior side by using the layering technique. The patient filed a medical chart, and it was informed about the undergoing study

After cavity preparation, selective etching of the enamel with 37% phosphoric acid for 30 seconds is done, then rinse and gentle drying is obtained to eliminate traces of moisture from the dam and adjacent teeth, but without directing the air jet directly into the cavity, avoiding dehydration. After etching and before the bonding, the application of a 0.2- 2% chlorhexidine solution was applied, then bonding application with Tokuyama EE Bond, and gentle drying for 5-10 seconds, the surface should appear shiny, and the bonding polymerization for 30 seconds is done. Then an elastic flow composite on the cavity base in a thin layer and its polymerization was performed.

The A 3.5B Estelite Asteria composite was used to fill the bottom part of the cavity, and create the interproximal grooves where it was applied Dark Brown pigmentation (Estelite Color), leaving space for the last layer of the material (Figure 1,2).



Figure 1. Bonded Surface



Figure 2. First layer of composite A3,5B Estelite Asteria Tokuyama dental

In general, it should be done horizontal layering in narrow and deep cavities and direct polymerization, in oblique layering the polymerization through the cusp walls in larger cavities. After, the anatomical layering in several increments with modeling the grooves and cusp slopes is made.

The last incremental layer with OcE composite was applied (Estelite Asteria Tokuyama dental) with the anatomical characterization of grooves and fossettes (Figure.3)

and the polymerization of the various increments was done. In the end Polishing with medium-grain rubber pads, removal of the dam, and verification of occlusal contacts were performed (Figure.4).

In the Figure 5 we can observe the final aspect.



Figure 3. Last layer of composite



Figure 4. Polishing and verification of the occlusal contacts



Figure 5. Final aspect

RESULTS

The layering technique for posterior teeth can reproduce the aspects of a natural tooth, like its different opacities, shades, and translucencies of enamel/dentin, which can be individualized during buildup in an attempt to match with natural structures., and reduction of the shrinkage stress generated by the polymerization contraction.

Precision is needed for each clinical step and high importance must be given to materials that become highly sensitive during handling.

DISCUSSIONS

The layering technique was established and adapt to the evolution of functional and anatomic restoration applying the esthetic with composite restorative materials that include shades of dentin, enamel and also various translucencies and intensive colors.

This technique is designed to embed various degrees of chroma present in a tooth.

It entails using a higher chroma composite resin in the center of the preparation and a lower chroma resin adjacent to the cusp walls. (6)

The performance of the incremental filling technique is questionable. Versluis et al. demonstrate that additional increments by the incremental filling technique can produce higher shrinkage stresses at the adhesive interface and increase the cuspal deformation of the weakened cusps. (7)

Campodonico et al. says that the incremental layering technique is a time-consuming procedure, may increase the contamination risk, and has voids in the restoration.

On the other hand, a recent in vitro study directed by Bicalho et al. stated that the harmful consequences effects of residual shrinkage stresses could be reduced by using a low fluid shrinkage composite and increments that are not exceeding 2-mm thickness.

Hirata et al. says that the insertion of a layer of composite is supported by the rationale of C- factor reduction, which is defined by the number of bonded walls divided by the number of free surfaces. Adopting an incremental layering technique, the resin composite is

bonded to a reduced number of cavity walls that decreases the C-factor thus reducing its shrinkage levels. (8)

Furthermore, the therapeutic measures become very vulnerable to operator handling, potentially jeopardizing the final functioning and esthetic outcome.

Consequently, the filling technique for posterior teeth should maintain a correct adaptation of composite, especially to cavity margins, proper reconstruction of anatomy, and reduction of the inherent shrinkage stress generated by the polymerization contraction.

An important advantage of the incremental layering technique is the possibility to reproduce the aspects of a natural tooth, such as its different opacities, shades, and translucencies of enamel/dentin, which can be individualized during buildup in an attempt to match with natural structures. (9,10,11–16)

CONCLUSIONS

The decision of these technique that have been used depends on the desired aesthetic patients complaint (although results seem very acceptable for these technique), the time available for the operative steps, and the clinician's experiences with the technique and material, or even depending on each clinical case, if it is involved just the enamel or enamel/ dentine.

Although the techniques is sustained by scientific evidence, there seems to be a trend toward simplification of steps, benefits being less treatment time and reduced polymerization contraction stress.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ferraris F, Fiorini A, Gagliani M, Manfrini F, Manfrini G, Marcoli PA, et al. BRENNA_01_Romane_V_XXII:A1_brenna 14_02_2006.:788.
- Awad MM, Salem WS, Almuhaizaa M, Aljeaidi Z. Contemporary teaching of direct posterior composite restorations in Saudi dental schools. The Saudi Journal for Dental Research. 2017 Jan;8(1–2):42–51.
- 3. Pallesen U, van Dijken JWV. A randomized controlled 27 years follow up of three resin composites in Class II restorations. Journal of Dentistry. 2015 Dec;43(12):1547–58.
- 4. Mackenzie L, Shortall AC, Burke FT. Direct Posterior Composites: A Practical Guide. Dental Update. 2009 Mar 2;36(2):71–95.
- 5. Ritter AV, editor. Sturdevant's art and science of operative dentistry. Seventh edition. St. Louis, Missouri: Elsevier; 2019. 530 p.
- 6. _ Kwon Y, Ferracane J, Lee I-B. Effect of layering methods, composite type, and flowable liner on the polymerization shrinkage stress of light cured composites. Dental Materials. 2012 Jul;28(7):801–9.
- 7. Pompeu JGF, Morais RC, Ferreira TO, Prado VLG. OCCLUSAL STAMP TECHNIQUE FOR DIRECT RESIN COMPOSITE RESTORATION: A CLINICAL CASE REPORT.:5.
- 8. Tambake DrNJ, Tambake DrS, Gandhi DrN, Jadhav DrY, Madhu DrK, Burad DrP. Stamp technique -New perspective of Aesthetic Dentistry: A Case Report. IOSR JDMS. 2017 Jul;16(06):49–51.
- 9. Moraru I, Bugala A, Gheorghe A, Popescu D, Raca AM. WHY USING THE STAMP TECHNIQUE IN CLASS I CAVITIES IS A FIRST CHOICE FILLING TECHNIQUE. 2019;8(1):6.
- 10. EURODENT Clinic, Stavropol', Stavropolskiy kray, Russia, Murashkin A. Direct posterior composite restorations using stamp technique-conventional and modified: A case series. Int J Dentistry Res. 2017 Feb 1;2(1):3–7.
- 11. Jayadevan A, G GM. Microbrush stamp technique to achieve occlusal topography for composite resin restorations A Technical Report. Journal of Scientific Dentistry. 2016 Dec;6(2):76–82.
- 12. Alshehadat SA, Halim MS, Carmen K, Fung CS. The stamp technique for direct Class II composite restorations: A case series.:6.

- 13. Iftikhar B, Chachra S, Kour G, Dhindsa A, Sharma M, Khurshid A. Stamp technique- A novel approach in pediatric restorative dentistry.:3.
- 14. Karaman E, Keskin B, Inan U. Three-year clinical evaluation of class II posterior composite restorations placed with different techniques and flowable composite linings in endodontically treated teeth. Clin Oral Invest. 2017 Mar;21(2):709–16.
- 15. Bicalho A, Valdívia A, Barreto B, Tantbirojn D, Versluis A, Soares C. Incremental Filling Technique and Composite Material—Part II: Shrinkage and Shrinkage Stresses. Operative Dentistry. 2014 Mar 1;39(2):e83–92.
- 16. Campodonico CE, Tantbirojn D, Olin PS, Versluis A. Cuspal deflection and depth of cure in resinbased composite restorations filled by using bulk, incremental and transtooth- illumination techniques. The Journal of the American Dental Association. 2011 Oct;142(10):1176–82.